



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| | | | | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/706,066 | 11/12/2003 | Erol Bozak | 09700.0031-00 | 6345 |
| 60668 7590 05/18/2009 SAP / FINNEGAN, HENDERSON LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413 | | | | |
| EXAMINER | | | | |
| CHEEMA, UMAR | | | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 2444 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 05/18/2009 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/706,066

Applicant(s)

BOZAK ET AL.

Examiner

UMAR CHEEMA

Art Unit

2444

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) UMAR CHEEMA.

(3) _____.

(2) Trent Roche (Reg. No. 61,164).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 May 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 3 and 6.

Identification of prior art discussed: Burnett, Matsunami, Bishop.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's proposed amendments to claims 1, 3 and 6 were discussed over prior arts and Examiner agrees that the proposed amendment over comes the rejection. Applicant was advised to go ahead and file the proper response and Examiner will further conduct the search upon Applicant's proper response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

William C. Vaughn, Jr./
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444